





COMPOUND FIGURE SEPARATION COMBINING EDGE AND BAND SEPARATOR DETECTION

Mario Taschwer, AAU, Klagenfurt, Austria Oge Marques, FAU, Boca Raton, FL, USA



January 5, 2016 MMM 2016







PROBLEM



Compound figure separation (CFS) – automatic!



Compound image in scientific article

segmented into subfigures









MOTIVATION

- Biomedical literature:
 - 40%-60% of figures in articles are compound
 - infeasible to separate subfigures manually
- Compound images hinder
 - Content-based analysis
 - Content-based indexing for retrieval
- CFS recognized as research problem recently
 - research fostered by ImageCLEF CFS tasks in 2013 and 2015 (biomedical domain)







KNOWN APPROACHES

Α

- Most approaches detect separator bands
- Very few detect
 separator edges
- No automatic combination yet
- We propose automatic selection of edge-based / band-based separation































































DATASET 1 FOR EVALUATION

- ImageCLEF 2015 test dataset:
 - 3,381 compound figures from biomedical journals containing 12,789 ground-truth subfigures
- Accuracy is defined per compound figure:
 - N_D number of detected subfigures
 - N_G number of ground-truth subfigures
 - True positives TP: 1-to-1 mapping from detected to ground-truth subfigures (maximal overlap ≥ 66%)
 - Accuracy = TP / max(N_D, N_G)
- Report mean accuracy on test dataset





ACCURACY ON DATASET 1

- N_D number of detected subfigures
- N_G number of ground-truth subfigures
- True positives TP: 1-to-1 mapping from detected to ground-truth subfigures (maximal overlap ≥ 66%)
- Accuracy = TP / $max(N_D, N_G)$









Method	Classifier / Features	Band-based %	Accuracy %
Proposed	None	0	58.0
Proposed	None	100	82.2
Proposed	SVM / simple11	60.3	83.5
Proposed	LogReg / simple11	74.1	84.9
NLM [7]	Manual	95.7	84.6

- LogReg: logistic regression, predicts class probability
 - decision threshold optimized on CFS training set
- simple11: 11-dimensional global image feature
 - entropy, mean intensity
 - 9 quantiles of intensity distribution







Method	Classifier / Features	Band-based %	Accuracy %
Proposed	None	0	58.0
Proposed	None	100	82.2
Proposed	SVM / simple11	60.3	83.5
Proposed	LogReg / simple11	74.1	84.9
NLM [7]	Manual	95.7	84.6

- Proposed approach outperforms
 - proposed variants without illustration classifier
 - semi-automatic approach of U.S. National Library of Medicine (NLM, best submission at ImageCLEF 2015)





RESULTS ON DATASET 1

Method	Classifier / Features	Band-based %	Accuracy %
Proposed	None	0	58.0
Proposed	None	100	82.2
Proposed	SVM / simple11	60.3	83.5
Proposed	LogReg / simple11	74.1	84.9
NLM [7]	Manual	95.7	84.6

- Dataset is "biased" towards separator bands
 - NLM's manual classification identified
 96% band-separated compound figures
 - explains why our band-based-only variant achieves good performance





DATASET 2 FOR EVALUATION

- NLM dataset [1]:
 - 389 compound figures from biomedical domain containing 1,754 ground-truth subfigures
- Stronger criterion for true positive subfigures:
 - \sim 25% overlap with a single ground-truth subfigure
 - < 5% overlap with all other ground-truth subfigures</p>
- Precision, recall and F₁ measure
 - calculated from total numbers of detected, true positive and ground-truth subfigures on entire test dataset







RESULTS ON DATASET 2

Method	detected	ТР	Precision %	Recall %	F1 %
Proposed, SVM/simple11	1681	1392	82.8	79.4	81.1
Proposed, LogReg/simple11	1646	1407	85.5	80.2	82.8
NLM [1]	1482	1276	86.1	72.3	78.6

- Indicate generalization capability:
 - used same parameter settings as with dataset 1
 - relative performance consistent with previous results
- Band-based separator selection rate: 33%
 - substantial difference to dataset 1 (74%)





CONCLUSION AND FURTHER WORK

Proposed compound figure separation approach:

- uses a supervised classifier to select separator line detection method (band-based or edge-based)
 - classifier accuracy is not critical for CFS performance
 - optimizing classifier's decision on CFS training set helps
 - future work may include finding more discriminative features / better training sets
- consistently better than previously published results on 2 datasets, using same parameter settings
- may be extended by other known useful techniques (image markup removal, subfigure label recognition)